



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box. 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/768,183	01/23/2001		Jeno Gyuris	GPCI-P03-109	1943
28120	7590	03/15/2004		EXAMINER	
ROPES & C	RAY LI	LP	YU, MISOOK		
ONE INTERNATIONAL PLACE BOSTON, MA 02110-2624				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1642	

DATE MAILED: 03/15/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
A division Action	09/768,183	GYURIS ET AL.					
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit					
	MISOOK YU, Ph.D.	1642					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 06 February 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	ETHIS APPLICATION IN COND roid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely	ITION FOR ALLOV ation. A proper repl b places the applica	VANCE. y to a ution in				
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The see have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of the europe of the ender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officinely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 (c)	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount of the shortened statutory period for reply tice later than three months after the ma	HE FINAL REJECTION. FR 1.136(a) and the applount of the fee. The apploration of the fee.	See MPEP ropriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissai d	eriod set forth in of the appeal.					
2.igotimes The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b		(NOTE below).					
(a) $igtieq$ they raise new issues that would require furth		(see NOTE below);					
(b) \square they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) \square they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the							
issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clain	ns.				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ction(s): <u>none</u> .	and the since by files	l amandment				
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicant argues with the non-entered amendment.							
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.							
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims v	nt(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or l vould be rejected is provided be	b)⊡ will be entered low or appended.	and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:						
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>28-33,54-88 and 93-104</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		=					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) ap							
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statem	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	··					
10. Other:							
		Misook Yu, 3/11	/2004				

Continuation of 2. NOTE: the new limitation in claims 28, 54, 55, 80 requires new consideration in terms of 112, First and Second Paragraphs issues. Applicant does not point out the support for the new limitation in the originally filed specification and the Office is not able to locate the support. Further the new limitation requires new search since the limitation was never in the claims before the final rejection.

EAHRY H. HELMS, PH.D.